

DC Department of Parks and Recreation



Vetting Application Rubric

Applicant Name:					
Score based on the following scale:					
No Evidence = 0 points Applicant failed to provide any evidence correspon	nding to the	evaluation factor.			
Some Evidence = 1 to 3 points Applicant's responses provide some evidence tha may not address all concerns.	t correspon	ds to the evaluation factor. The applicant			
Substantial Evidence = 4 to 6 points Applicant provides multiple examples and support The applicant's responses are clear and compreh		tion that addresses the evaluation factor.			
Ratings by Program Area					
A. Program Development Information					
	Score	Comments			
1. Outlines clear and concise goals.					
2. Implementation model reflects the stated goals.					
3. Components appeal to target population and encourage long-term participation.					
4. Responds to and reflects student/parent needs.					
5. Program design complements recreation center programming without replication.					

Total Score for Program Development

DC Department of Parks and Recreation

B. Data and Accountability

	Score	Comments
Collects data for program evaluation.		
2. Uses data for program improvement.		
3. Fiscal capacity exists to implement the program successfully.		
Total Score for Data/Accountability		

C. Administrative

	Score	Comments
Provides for safety of students.		
2. Provided evidence of minimum insurance requirements. See reviewer instructions for insurance requirements.		
3. Staffing practices and job descriptions are aligned with job expectations.		
4. Provides adequate scheduling.		
5. Organization has the capacity to fulfill stated goals.		
Total Score for Administrative		

DC Department of Parks and Recreation

Application Status	5 :				
Approved:	Conditionally Approved:	Not Approved:			
The conditions und	The conditions under which this application should be approved are as follows:				
Total Score:	_				
Final Recommend	lations:				
Reviewer Name:		Date:			
	:				